Instructor: Dr. Kristopher G. Phillips
Office: 108F General Classrooms
Office: 108F General Classrooms
Email: kristopherphillips@suu.edu

Office Hours: MWF: 11:00a-Noon, T: 1:00p-3:00p & also by appointment

Class Meets: MWF 3:00p-3:50p in BUS 119

COURSE DESCRIPTION: In our everyday lives we frequently say that we know things. We know, for instance, that two plus two equals four, that we are currently in southwest Utah, or that we prefer burritos to every other food. Each of these claims, however, seems to demand different kinds of evidence. But what counts as evidence? What is knowledge? When do we go from having some reason to believe something to *knowing* something? How do we make evidence based choices? In this class we will discuss the nature of knowledge, the nature and structure of justification, and the nature of rational choice.

Course Learning Outcomes:

- Critical Thinking: The successful student will be able to differentiate between good and bad reasons for holding philosophical beliefs and will be able to seek out and identify the assumptions that lie at the basis of philosophical positions.
- Inquiry and Analysis: The successful student will be able to break complex philosophical problems into their components, and will be able to identify the consequences and implications of philosophical positions.
- Reading Comprehension: The successful student will be able to analyze and interpret abstract and difficult philosophical writing.
- Writing: The successful student will be able to express abstract and complex philosophical ideas in clear, precise, and logical prose.

Evaluation of these learning outcomes will be competed through take-home exams, and a term paper.

<u>TEXTS</u>: Metaepistemology and Skepticism (ISBN: 0847681076) by Richard Fumerton, Rowman and Littlefield (1995). The Rationality of Perception (ISBN: 978-0198797081) by Susanna Siegel, Oxford University Press (2017) These can be found at the College Bookstore, and are required.

Materials not available in the required texts will be provided on Canvas.

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE OF READINGS:

This list of readings is tentative, and the pace is brisk. Specific reading assignments will be given on a day-to-day basis, and the schedule is subject to revision.

Week 1 Introduction

Edmund Gettier: *Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?* (Canvas) Linda Zagzebski: *The Inescapability of Gettier Problems* (Canvas)

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 1, Metaepistemology and Normative Epistemology (1-27)

Weeks 2-8 Metaepistemology and Skepticism

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 1, continued.

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 2, The Structure of Skeptical Arguments and Its Metaepistemological Implications (29-54)

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 3, Internalist and Externalist Foundationalism (55-94) Richard Fumerton: Chapter 4, Externalist Versions of Foundationalism (95-130)

Alvin Goldman: What is Justified Belief? (Canvas)

Robert Nozick: from Philosophical Explanations (Canvas)

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 5, Coherence Theories of Truth and Justification (131-158)

Laurence BonJour: The Coherence Theory of Empirical Knowledge (Canvas)

Richard Fumerton: Chapter 6, Externalism and Skepticism (159-182) Richard Fumerton: Chapter 7, Internalism and Skepticism (183-224)

[Mid-Term Exam]

Weeks 9-15 Perception and The Problem of Hijacked Experience

Siegel: Chapter 1 The Problem of Hijacked Experience (3-13)

Siegel: Chapter 2, The Solution Sketched (14-30)

Siegel: Chapter 3, Epistemic Charge (31-52)

Siegel: Intro to Part II and Chapter 4, Epistemic Downgrade (53-76)

Siegel: Chapter 5, Inference without Reckoning (77-106)

Siegel: Chapter 6, How Experiences Can Lose Power from Inference (107-146)

Time Permitting: Selections from Part III.

[Final Exam]

ASSIGNMENTS, EVALUATION, POLICY:

Two Exams (60%; 30% for each exam) The exams will be take-home. You will be expected to complete four short-answer questions, and one essay. I expect your short answer questions to be about 2-3 pages in total and your essay should be 3-4 pages typed. More on this in class.

Ten Weekly Discussion Board Posts (15%) I expect you to keep up on the reading, and it will be challenging. To facilitate discussion and keep you on track, I expect you to complete at least 10 weekly discussion board posts. You may respond to a thread already going, or you may begin a discussion of your own. I expect these to be thoughtful, reflective, substantive posts. A question with no follow-up is not sufficient for your contribution. I would like to see careful engagement with the course material, but I'd also like to see you go beyond the class discussion. These may be critical or clarificatory, but must not be a mere summary of what you've read (though you will likely have to offer some interpretation as set-up for your post).

Ideally you will engage with your classmates in addition to sharing your own insights into the material. You must make your post by **11:59p on Sunday** otherwise the post will count toward the following week.

Simulated Conference Paper (25%; 5% for first draft, 5% for commentary, 5% for response to the commentary, 5% for Q's, 5% for A's) You will be expected to complete a brief, focused paper on any topic relevant to epistemology, broadly speaking. You are not limited to topics that we discuss explicitly in class, and you are expected to do research beyond the material we cover in class.

You will have a 1000-1500-word draft due by Friday, November 15th.

I will divide the class up into groups of five, and we will swap paper drafts with Epistemology students at York College, PA. Each group will divvy up the York students' papers, and each student will prepare a formal commentary on the York-Student paper. You will submit your commentary to the York student by the end of the day on **Tuesday, November 19th**. The York students will be doing the same thing that week, and will return a commentary on *your* paper at the same time.

You will be expected to write a brief, but direct response to the commentary on your paper. We will then "open up for questions."

The following week will consist of a simulated Q&A period, where each author will submit their initial draft, the commentary, and their response to the commentary on their own paper in a google-doc to be shared with their peer group. Each group member is responsible for making (at least) one substantive comment *per paper* on the each of their York peer groups' papers.

The York students will also be commenting/posting questions on your papers. You are responsible for answering those questions.

Late Work: I will accept late exams, but for each 24-hour period that the paper is late, the grade will be reduced by 4%. I will not accept late weekly posts.

Grade Disputes: If you feel that your work has been unfairly assessed, you may ask that I reconsider. I am, after all, a human who makes mistakes on occasion. I will do so **only if** you submit to me, on paper, a one-paragraph typewritten explanation of why you believe that your work has been unfairly evaluated. You must indicate the grade you believe yourself to deserve and explain clearly why it is that you deserve that grade. Your dispute must be submitted within **one week** of receiving your grade.

Classroom Conduct: The classroom constitutes a community of which we are each an important part. The very foundation of philosophy as a discipline is the ability to charitably listen, understand and represent the views of ourselves and others. As such, respect for your fellow student, your instructor, and the course is of critical importance. We can, should, and will disagree with one another often, but this *MUST* be conducted with respect. As such threatening behavior, offensive language (including "hate speech") will not be tolerated.

Attendance: Excessive absences (6 or more) will hurt you 1 letter grade (as in A- to B-). Philosophy is hard even if you come to class. Skip at your own risk. You are responsible for information that I offer in lecture that is not in the readings.

Extra Credit and Final Grades: I will *not*, under any circumstances, offer extra credit. If you are concerned about your grade, come to my office hours. Please do so before the end of the semester. With regard to final grades, you will get the grade you have earned. Asking me to bump, round up, or otherwise adjust your final grade will guarantee that I will NOT adjust your final grade. Please do not ask.

Plagiarism or academic fraud of any sort will result in an F for the course. Academic dishonesty is a serious matter. Please familiarize yourself with Southern Utah University's *Student Handbook*, which you can find online at https://www.suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP633Academic.pdf. I will follow the regulations described in this document for dealing with cheating, plagiarism, etc. If you have any questions regarding what constitutes plagiarism or academic fraud after reading through the student handbook, please do not hesitate to ask me for further clarification.

Disabilities: I warmly welcome any of you who have disabilities. Students with medical, psychological, learning or other disabilities desiring academic adjustments, accommodations, or auxiliary aids must contact the Office for Students with Disabilities. The Office for Students with Disabilities determines eligibility for and authorizes the provision of these services and aids Reasonable and effective accommodations and services will be provided to students if requests are made in a timely manner, with appropriate documentation, in accordance with federal, state, and University guidelines. For Southern Utah University's full policy, see: http://suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP119Disability.pdf.

SUU Care and Support Team: The SUU Care and Support Team (CAST) is comprised of trained faculty and staff who are committed to creating an environment at SUU that supports students who may be struggling with emotional distress and mental health issues. As a member of CAST, please know that I am here to help. I am not a professional counselor, but I do care, know how and where to find help if you need it, and want to see you succeed. Please do not be afraid to visit me if you're struggling. http://www.suu.edu/cast/

SUU Pride Alliance: SUU Pride Alliance is a network comprised of students, staff, and faculty dedicated to creating safe spaces for Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual, Transgender, Queer individuals and Allies (LGBTQA) on the campus of Southern Utah University (SUU). As a member, please know that I am committed to seeing that everyone is afforded the dignity and respect they deserve regardless of their identity. http://www.suu.edu/allies/

Link: SUU faculty and staff care about the success of our students. In addition to your professor, numerous services are available to assist you with the achievement of your educational goals. I may use SUU's Link system to notify you and/or your advisors of my concern for your progress and provide references to campus services as appropriate.

Emergency Management: In case of emergency, the University's Emergency Notification System (ENS) will be activated. Students are encouraged to maintain updated contact information using the link on the homepage of the mySUU portal. In addition, students are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the Emergency Response Protocols posted in each classroom. Detailed information about the University's emergency management plan can be found at http://suu.edu/emergency.

HEOA Compliance: The sharing of copyrighted material through peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing, except as provided under U.S. copyright law, is prohibited by law.

Suggestions for Further Research by Topic:

For all topics, the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy is an outstanding resource: http://plato.stanford.edu

Analysis of Knowledge:

Ayer: Knowing as Having the Right to be Sure

Pritchard: Epistemic Luck

Engel: Is Epistemic Luck Compatible with Knowledge?

Lackey: What Epistemic Luck is Not Stoutenburg: Epistemic Analysis of Luck

Nozick: Knowledge

Klein: A Proposed Definition of Propositional Knowledge

Goldman: A Causal Theory of Knowing

Plato: Theaetetus Plato: Meno

Chisolm: Theory of Knowledge [book]

Feldman: An Alleged Defect in Gettier Counter-Examples

Kaplan: It's Not What You Know That Counts

Lehrer: Knowledge Truth and Evidence

Williamson: Knowledge and Its Limits [book] (specifically,

Intro and Ch.1)

Skepticism:

Descartes: Meditations on First Philosophy
Berkeley: Of the Principles of Human Knowledge
Hume: Of the Academical or Sceptical Philosophy

Putnam: Brains in a Vat

Moore: Proof of an External World Moore: Hume's Theory Examined Huemer: Why Study Skepticism? Lewis: Elusive Knowledge Cohen: Knowledge and Context Cohen: How to be a Fallibilist

DeRose: Solving the Skeptical Problem Huemer: Direct Realism and the Brain-in-a-V at Argument

Sextus Empiricus: Outlines of Pyrrhonism Stroud: The Problem of the External World Pryor: The Skeptic and the Dogmatist

Vogel: Cartesian Skepticism and Inference to the Best Explanation

Feldman: Skeptical Problems, Contextual Solutions

Unger: Ignorance

Structure of Knowledge and Justification:

Carroll: What the Tortoise Said to Achilles Alston: Has Foundationalism Been Refuted?

BonJour: Can Empirical Knowledge Have a Foundation?

BonJour: Back to Foundationalism

BonJour: The Structure of Empirical Knowledge [book]

Sosa: The Raft and the Pyramid

Klein: Human Knowledge and the Infinite Regress of Reasons

Chisolm: The Myth of the Given Fales: A Defense of the Given [book]

Russell: Knowledge by Acquaintance and Knowledge by Description

Fumerton: Metaepistemology and Skepticism [book] Haack: A Foundherentist Theory of Empirical Justification Internalism/Externalism:

Goldman: Strong and Weak Justificaion

BonJour: Externalist Theories of Empirical Justification

Goldman: Internalism Exposed

Connee & Feldman: Internalism Defended

Greco: Virtue, Luck and the Pyrrhonian Problematic Bergmann: Externalist Responses to Skepticism Bergmann: Justification Without Awareness [book] Conee and Feldman: Making Sense of Skepticism

Kornblith: Epistemology: Internalism and Externalism [book]

Steup: A Defense of Internalism

Alston: Internalism and Externalism in Epistemology

Alston: An Internalist Externalism

A Priori

Jenkins: A Priori Knowledge: The Conceptual Approach

Kant: Critique of Pure Reason Quine: Two Dogmas of Empiricism

Butchvarov: The Concept of Knowledge [book]

BonJour: In Defense of Pure Reason Devitt: There is No A Priori

Gendler: Empiricism, Rationalism, and the Limits of Justification

Putnam: Two Dogmas Revisited

Quine: From a Logical Point of View [book—collected

articles]

Epistemology and Science (We did not discuss this,

but it's cool)

Quine: Epistemology Naturalized

Lemos: Naturalized Epistemology (Ch. 10) BonJour: Against Naturalized Epistemology

Fumerton: Skepticism and Naturalistic Epistemology

Kim: What is Naturalized Epistemology?

Stich and Nisbett: Justification and the Psychology of Human

Reasoning

Self Knowledge (This is also cool):

Gertler: Introspection

Gertler: Privileged Access: Philosophical Accounts of Self-Knowledge

[book]

Ludlow and Martin: Externalism and Self-Knowledge [book] Tye and McLaughlin: Externalism, Twin Earth, and Self-

Knowledge

Fumerton: Speckled Hens and Objects of Acquaintance

Dretske: Introspection

Cunning: Agency and Consciousness

Chalmers: The Content and Epistemology of Phenomenal Belief

Boghossian: What the Externalist can Know a priori Sellars: Empiricsm and the Philosophy of Mind

Testimony:

Hume: Of Miracles

Coady: Testimony and Observation Reid: Inquiry into the Human Mind

Lackey: Testimonial Knowledge and Transmission

Lackey: Learning from Words

Problem of Induction:

Hume: An Inquiry Concerning Human Understanding

Edwards: Russell's Doubts about Induction
Carnap: The Continuum of Inductive Methods
Goodman: Fact, Fiction, & Forcast [book]
Hacking: Logic of Statistical Inference
Harman: Inference to the Best Explanation
Popper: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
Reichenbach: Theory of Probability