Office Phone: 435.586.7912

If you're feeling sad, call: 719-266-2837

Cell: 616.364.8045

Instructor: Dr. Kristopher G. Phillips Office: 108F General Classrooms

Office Hours: MW 3:00-4:00, TR 10:00-11:30 & also by appointment

Email: kristopherphillips@suu.edu

Classes Meet:

MWF 2:00p-2:50p in GC 110

COURSE DESCRIPTION: Well-mannered folks regularly refrain from discussing religion over dinner. The reason is plain: on one hand we may disagree vehemently about the topic. On the other hand, we consider religion of the utmost importance. Given these facts, discussions about religion can raise our blood pressure which is bad for digestion. Yet, given the importance of religion, the subject demands our attention. We must think about it carefully and determine whether we have good reason to believe that God exists. In this class we will examine arguments for and against the existence of God. In doing so we will also explore questions such as: what is the nature of God? Are divine foreknowledge and omnipotence consistent with human freedom? How do we evaluate testimony regarding religious matters? Do reason and logic apply in the domain of religious belief? My goal is not to establish any particular answer to any of these questions. While I might have opinions about any or all of these topics, I certainly don't take myself to know the answers to these questions. Instead of attempting to provide definite answers to these (or any surrounding) questions, we explore the arguments and see where they take us. We should think carefully about religion; my goal is to provide you the skills necessary to do so.

Course Learning Outcomes:

- · Critical Thinking: The successful student will begin to be able to differentiate between good and bad reasons for holding philosophical beliefs and will be able to seek out and identify the assumptions that lie at the basis of philosophical positions.
- Inquiry and Analysis: The successful student will begin to be able to break complex philosophical problems into their components, and will be able to identify the consequences and implications of philosophical positions.
- Writing: The successful student will be able to express some abstract and complex philosophical ideas in clear, precise, and logical prose.

Evaluation of these learning outcomes will be done through exams, papers, and in-class discussions.

TEXT: Exploring Philosophy of Religion: an Introductory Anthology 2nd Ed. Cahn, Steven (Oxford University press 2016) This can be found at the College Bookstore, and is required. Materials not available in the required texts will be provided on Canvas.

SOME GENERAL ADVICE: This course presupposes a willingness to work hard and think critically about some very difficult problems. Philosophy is hard, you'll see. Students who read reflectively and attend class regularly are more likely to remain interested in (and even enjoy) the material, benefit from the class discussions, and develop the philosophical skills and level of comprehension required to do well on the exams and papers. Some notes will be provided every week, but these, the lectures, and class discussion will very likely be hard to follow if you don't do the readings or if you miss previous classes.

Everyone will get the grade they earn. If you're worried about getting an A, work hard and come to office hours if you are having problems understanding the material. You might still get a B or C because not everybody is excellent at philosophy, but your future does not depend on you getting straight A's nearly as much as you think it does.

SCHEDULE OF READINGS:

This list of readings is tentative. Specific reading assignments will be given on a day-to-day basis.

Weeks 1-2 Introduction: Arguments and Why We Need Them

Cahn, Kitcher, Sher: What is Reason? (8-13) Clifford: The Ethics of Belief (186-190)

Weeks 3-6

Arguments for God's Existence

Ontological Argument

Anselm & Gaunilo: The Ontological Argument (57-59) Kant: The Ontological Argument: A Critique (60-61) Rowe: The Ontological Argument: A Reassessment (62-64)

Cosmological Argument
Aquinas: The Five Ways (65-66)

Mackie: The Cosmological Argument: A Critique (72-76) Rowe: The Kalam Cosmological Argument (77-78)

Teleological Argument

Paley: The Evidence of Design (79-81)

Hume: from Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion (82-89)

Weeks 6-8

Arguments Revisited

Zagzebski: What Question Does a Theistic Argument Answer? (99-102)

Pascal: *The Wager* (181-182)

Plantinga: Belief Without Argument (200-205)

Martin: Belief Without Argument: A Critique (206-209)

[Mid-Term Exam]

Weeks 9-15 The Problem of Evil, Free-Will, and Skeptical Theism

Mackie: Evil and Omnipotence (Canvas) Plantinga: The Free Will Defence (Canvas)

[Term Paper Draft Due]

Rowe: The Problem of Evil and Some Varieties of Atheism (233-240)

Bergmann: Skeptical Theism and Rowe's Evidential Argument from Evil (Cavas)

[Peer Review]

Rowe: Friendly Atheism, Skeptical Theism, and the Problem of Evil (Canavs) Depoe: On the Epistemological Framework for Skeptical Theism (Canvas)

Stump: Knowledge, Freedom, and the Problem of Evil (249-256)

[Final Paper Due]

Adams: Christianity and Horrendous Evils (257-258)

Adams: The Problem of Hell (273-279)

[Final Exam]

ASSIGNMENTS, EVALUATION, POLICY:

Two Exams (60%; 30% for each exam) The exams will be take-home. You will be expected to two of four essays. I expect each answer to be 2-3 pages typed. You are to work alone; standard exam rules apply. More on this in class.

Ten Reading Responses (10%; 1% for each) I expect you to keep up on the reading. To facilitate discussion and keep you on track, you are expected to complete 10 weekly critical summaries. You are to complete the reading for the week (assigned on Friday) and write a 1-page critical discussion of the reading. It is not enough to summarize the reading, you must make some kind of critical contribution in order to receive full credit. You are to submit them to Canvas by 5:00p on Sunday. The dropbox will close at that time, and I will not accept *any* late responses.

Term Paper (30%; 10% for your Draft, 5% for Peer Review, 15% for the final product) You will be expected to complete a term paper on any topic relevant to the philosophy of religion. You are not limited to topics that we discuss explicitly in class, and you are welcome (and encouraged) to do research beyond the textbook. Your paper should be between 2000-3000 words. I will ask you to submit a draft of your paper by the close of the 10th week of class. After I look over your drafts, one of your peers will review your paper (see the form online). I will make time in class for the review process to take place. I will review all of the peer reviews, and you will receive a grade on your review commentary. Your final paper MUST take into consideration your peers comments. Failure to do so will result in a significant decrease in your final grade. Your final paper will be due at the close of week 14.

NOTE: I can and will feel free to utilize turnitin.com to ensure the originality of your work. As such, I will require at least an electronic copy of your paper, and probably a hard copy as well.

Late Work: I will accept late exams, but for each 24-hour period that the paper is late, the grade will be reduced by 1/3 of a grade. I will not accept late reading responses.

Grade Disputes: If you feel that your work has been unfairly assessed, you may ask that I reconsider. I am, after all, a human who makes mistakes on occasion. I will do so **only if** you submit to me, on paper, a one-paragraph typewritten explanation of why you believe that your work has been unfairly evaluated. You must indicate the grade you believe yourself to deserve and explain clearly why it is that you deserve that grade. Your dispute must be submitted within **one week** of receiving your grade.

Attendance: Excessive absences (6 or more) will hurt you 1 letter grade (as in A- to B-). Philosophy is hard even if you come to class. Skip at your own risk. You are responsible for information that I offer in lecture that is not in the readings. I will not give you notes for unexcused absences.

Participation in class discussion can raise your grade up to 1/3 of a letter grade.

Plagiarism or academic fraud of any sort will result in an F for the course. Academic dishonesty is a serious matter. Please familiarize yourself with Southern Utah University's *Student Handbook*, which you can find online at https://www.suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP633Academic.pdf. I will follow the regulations described in this document for dealing with cheating, plagiarism, etc. If you have any questions regarding what constitutes plagiarism or academic fraud after reading through the student handbook, please do not hesitate to ask me for further clarification.

Disabilities: I warmly welcome any of you who have disabilities. Students with medical, psychological, learning or other disabilities desiring academic adjustments, accommodations, or auxiliary aids must contact the Office for Students with Disabilities. The Office for Students with Disabilities determines eligibility for and authorizes the provision of these services and aids Reasonable and effective accommodations and services will be provided to

students if requests are made in a timely manner, with appropriate documentation, in accordance with federal, state, and University guidelines. For Southern Utah University's full policy, see: http://suu.edu/pub/policies/pdf/PP119Disability.pdf.

Emergency Management: In case of emergency, the University's Emergency Notification System (ENS) will be activated. Students are encouraged to maintain updated contact information using the link on the homepage of the mySUU portal. In addition, students are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the Emergency Response Protocols posted in each classroom. Detailed information about the University's emergency management plan can be found at http://suu.edu/emergency.

HEOA Compliance: The sharing of copyrighted material through peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing, except as provided under U.S. copyright law, is prohibited by law.